FILED
Electronically
CV20-00755
2020-08-20 09:06:49 AM
Jacqueline Bryant
Clerk of the Court
Transaction # 8028408 : bblou@h

JASON D. GUINASSO, ESQ. (SBN# 8478)
HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC
500 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite 980
Reno, NV 89521
Telephone: (775) 832-6800
Facsimile: (775) 832-6801

jguinasso@hutchlegal.com

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

4

SECOND JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF WASHOE

AMETHYST PAYNE, IRIS POSADA-MIRELES, ANTHONY NAPOLITANO, ISAIAH PAVIA-CRUZ, VICTORIA WAKED, CHARLES PLOSKI, DARIUSH NAIMI, TABITHA ASARE, SCOTT HOWARD, RALPH WYNCOOP, ELAINA ABING, and WILLIAM TURNLEY on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Petitioners,

v.

STATE OF NEVADA ex rel NEVADA DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT, TRAINING AND REHABILITATION (DETR) HEATHER KORBULIC in her official capacity only as Nevada Director of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, DENNIS PEREA in his official capacity only as Deputy Director of DETR, and KIMBERLY GAA in her official capacity only as the Administrator for the Employment Security Division (ESD); and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants

Case No.: CV20-00755

Dept. No.: 8

ERRATA TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT NO. 2

26

25

The Special Master files this Errata to the Special Master's Report No. 2 filed on There were several inadvertent errors within the Table of Contents August 19, 2020. which have been corrected below and should be incorporated and made a part of the Special Master's Report No. 2 filed on August 19, 2020 as if fully set forth within the original. /// /// /// /// /// /// ///

TABLE OF CONTENTS

,	IABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		
3	I. INTRODUCTION4	
'	II. COMPLIANCE WITH COURT'S JULY 22, 2020, ORDER6	
:	A. PART #1 OF COURT'S ORDER: WHEN PAYMENTS HAVE STARTED, PAYMENTS CANNOT WITHHELD AND MUST BE RESTARTED UNLESS: (A) THE APPLICANT DID NOT FILE A WEEKLY CLAIM; OR	(B)
	THE APPLICANT HAS EARNINGS IN EXCESS OF THAT WHICH WOULD OTHERWISE QUALIFY THE APPLICATE FOR BENEFITS; OR (C) THERE IS CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE OF FRAUD BY THE APPLICANT; OR UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE APPLICANT IS AFFORDED AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE HEARD. PAYMENTS TO T	(D)
	ABOVE INDIVIDUALS MUST COMMENCE ON OR BEFORE TUESDAY, JULY 28, 2020. SEE ORDER OF MANDA JUL. 22, 2020, AT PP 2-46	
	DETR ESD Statement of Compliance:	
	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal:	
3	B. PART #2 OF COURT'S ORDER: A COVERED INDIVIDUAL FOR THE PURPOSES OF THE PANDER	
	UNEMPLOYMENT ASSISTANCE INCLUDES AN INDIVIDUAL WITH REPORTABLE INCOME, AND IS EITH UNEMPLOYED, PARTIALLY EMPLOYED, OR UNABLE OR UNAVAILABLE TO WORK BECAUSE THE COVID	
-	PUBLIC HEALTH EMERGENCY HAS SEVERELY LIMITED HIS OR HER ABILITY TO CONTINUE PERFORM	
1	WORK ACTIVITIES AND HAS THEREFORE CAUSED SUBSTANTIAL INTERFERENCE WITH HIS OR HER WO	
	ACTIVITIES, PAYMENTS ARE REQUIRED13	
	DETR ESD Statement of Compliance:	. 13
	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal:	
l	C. PART #3 OF COURT'S ORDER: EXAMINE PROGRESS MADE ON THE FOLLOWING ISSUES A	NI
	DETERMINE IF FURTHER ORDER FOR RELIEF IS NECESSARY	
2	1. The status of resolving the "UI/PU loop" or UI/PUA dichotomy, including the	
	relationship to the FPUC payments	
l	i. Pay people before a determination of eligibility	
١	i. Pay people before a determination of eligibility ii. Expedite the determination of eligibility by assigning more resources to the existing process	
١	iii. Undertake efforts to reach an eligibility determination earlier in the process	
l	iv. Improve processes to accelerate the determination for individuals whose PUA or UI eligibility	
l	initially unclear and may have to undergo multiple eligibility determinations	
l	v. Matched claim data,	
l	a) Matching Procedure	
l	b) Initial Match Data	.20
l	c) Moving Payment Dates	.22
l	d) Issues on Unpaid Claims, With No UI Eligibility Issue, With Weeks Claimed	
l	e) Been Paid, Now Unpaid Claims	
l	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal:	
l	2. What steps DETR has made to move the first filers to the front of the line	
l	DETR ESD Statement of Compliance:	
l	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal:	
۱	3. The "retroactivity" issue whereby people who sought benefits between February 29, 20	
o	and March 5, 2020 were determined not eligible for payments because the first confirmed confirmed of COVID-19 in Nevada did not occur until later. A review of the reason why those people	
	income appears to have been affected, particularly if they were working with people	
	traveling to or dealing with businesses that had been affected already	
	DETR ESD Statement of Compliance:	
	Plaintiffs' Rebuttal:	
	D. RECENT ACTIONS TAKEN BY DETR ESD TO ADDRESS OTHER CONCERNS OF THE COURT32	
	III. INFORMATION GATHERING AND TESTIMONIALS40	

1	A. FIRST TIME FILER (FILED APPROX. BETWEEN MAY 16, 2020 AND JUNE 15, 2020) FIRST TIME FILERS,
1	ARE CLAIMANTS WHO FILED AT THE LAUNCH OF NEVADA PUA. MANY FIRST TIME FILERS ARE NOW
	CURRENTLY STUCK AND WITHOUT PAYMENTS FOR THE LAST 19 WEEKS. THERE ARE VARIABLES AND MULTI
$2 \mid$	SUB-GROUPS TO THESE FIRST TIME FILERS46
	1. Example of Claimant narrative:49
3	2. Example of First Time Filer narrative:49
	3. Additional narrative from First Filer:52
.	B. Invalid social security number (shows in claim summary under "Outstanding issues").
4	CLAIMANTS HAVE ATTEMPTED TO EMAIL DETR FRAUD DEPARTMENT OR HAVE CALLED THE 81/82 LINE
1	
5	TO NO AVAIL AND ARE TOLD TO WAIT, OR THAT THE CALL REPRESENTATIVE WAS NOT GIVEN THE
۱۳	PERMISSIONS OR PRIVILEGES TO CHANGE THE INFORMATION OR TO RELEASE THE CLAIM FOR PAYMENT.
	EVEN AFTER UPLOADING FRONT AND BACK OF SOCIAL SECURITY CARD INTO THE EMPLOY NV PORTAL
6	AND HUNDREDS OF CALLS MADE TO THE CALL CENTER CLAIMS HAVE REMAINED UNPAID FOR VAST NUMBER
	OF THESE CLAIMANTS53
	1. Examples of collected narratives within this subgroup (6/23/20 is the first date this issue
7	started):54
I	C. IP SPECIAL CASE INVESTIGATION55
8	1. Examples of collective narratives which illustrate the IP Case Investigation issue: 57
	2. Examples of IP issues:
	D. Two-Factor Authentication: Claimants were asked approximately early July to verify
9	THEIR IDENTITIES THROUGH A TWO-FACTOR AUTHENTICATION
	l
10	
10	2. Post from PUA Facebook Group page on July 3, 2020:
	E. PUA OTHER: (STANDARD CROSS MATCH ON EVERY CLAIM) "PUA/UI LIMBO."64
11	1. Example of an Uber driver waiting to be paid:67
	2. Example of independent contractor still waiting for payment though denied UI:68
12	3. Examples of PUA OTHER:69
124	F. REDETERMINATION LETTER: AFTER THE QUARTER CHANGE, CLAIMANTS BEGAN TO RECEIVE RE-
	DETERMINATIONS THAT EITHER EXHAUSTED THEIR CLAIMS IF THEY WERE COLLECTING THE MAXIMUM
13	WEEKLY BENEFIT AMOUNT WHO HAD BEEN PAID, OR THEIR BENEFITS WERE REDUCED TO THE LOWER
	BENEFIT AMOUNT AND THEY HAVE NOT BEEN PAID. CLAIMANTS WERE ALSO SENT BACK TO UI IN SOME
$_{14}$	INSTANCES. SOME CLAIMANTS WERE TOLD THEY RECEIVED PUA OVERPAYMENTS71
T.T.	1. Examples of collective narratives:71
	2. Screenshots of prior requirement to report net:
15	3. Screenshot of an example of a redetermined claim:76
1	G. VERIFICATION EMAIL - JULY 31
16	1. Example of email sent to claimant regarding "Claim under review":79
10	H. OVERPAYMENTS TO PUA SENT BACK TO UNEMPLOYMENT
j	I. CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT EMPLOYEES84
17	J. STAFF REVIEW85
	-,
10	1. Examples of claimants who experience the July 18 re-file issue:85
18	K. PUA DEBIT CARD86
	L. CLAIM SUMMARY SHOWING "PAID" BUT HAVEN'T RECEIVED A DIME87
19	M. Insufficient work history87
	N. PAYMENT REJECTION AND REISSUES: 7/2188
ا مم	1. The below screenshots show that it has been an entirely unpredictable process with
20	unknown wait times and unnecessary urgency for payments to be re-issued. If having larger
	deposits is now the problem, banks may not accept larger deposits, as previously stated, DETR
21	needs to come up with an alternative to pay out these First Time Filers with larger amounts
	and break them into several payments or two separate payments totaling less than deposit
ا ي	limits:89
25	O. "PAID" IN CLAIM SUMMARY BUT NOT ACTUALLY PAID91
	P. CHANGING PAYMENT DATES95
26	1. Example of claimants experiencing changing payment dates:
	2. Example of coamound expensences crowing ing payment acted acted in minimum 10

1 2 3 4	Q. APPEAL FEATURE NOT AVAILABLE. 99 R. FOOD STAMPS. 100 S. PROBLEMS REPORTED FROM THE PAST WEEK. 101 1. See below additional example: 105 V. OBSERVATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS. 107 VI. CONCLUSION. 111
5	AFFIRMATION
6	The undersigned does hereby affirm that the foregoing document filed in this matter
7	does not contain the social security number of any person.
8	DATED this 19th day of August, 2020.
9	And the second s
10	Jason D. Guinasso, Esq.
11	Special Master
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
25	
0.0	

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 13 14 15 16 17 18

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the law firm of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC and that on this 20th day of August, 2020, I caused service of a true and correct copy of the ERRATA TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT NO. 2 by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the Second Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada and service completed by delivery via e-flex to:

Mark Thierman, Esq. Joshua Buck, Esq. Leah Jones, Esq. Joshua Hendrickson, Esq.

Gregory Ott, Esq. Robert Whitney, Esq.

Attorneys for Iris Podesta-Mireles, et al.

Attorneys for Nevada Department of Employment, Training and Rehabilitation, Kimberly Gaa, Administrator for ESD, State of Nevada, Heather Korbulic, NV Director of DETR

12

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of the law firm of HUTCHISON & STEFFEN, PLLC and that on this 20th day of August, 2020, I caused service of a true and correct copy of the ERRATA TO SPECIAL MASTER'S REPORT NO. 2 via U.S. Mail, deposited in Reno, Nevada, upon the following:

Dennis Perea, Deputy Director of DETR 6330 W. Charleston Blvd Las Vegas, NV 89146

19

20

/s/ Bernadette Francis An employee of Hutchison & Steffen, PLLC

21

25

26